



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/767,551	01/29/2004	Robert L. Cafferata	B0410/7273D1	5081	
22832 75	04/06/2006		EXAMINER		
KIRKPATRICK & LOCKHART NICHOLSON GRAHAM LLP STATE STREET FINANCIAL CENTER			BOLDA, ERIC L		
ONE LINCOL		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
BOSTON, MA		3663	·		
			DATE MAILED: 04/06/2006		

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	cation No. Applicant(s)		<u> </u>			
Office Action Summary			51	CAFFERATA, RO	DBERT L.			
			•	Art Unit				
		Eric Bolda	ı	3663				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory preto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THE FR 1.136(a). In no even on. Deriod will apply and we statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATIC ent, however, may a reply be t ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS froi lication to become ABANDON	ON. timely filed m the mailing date of this of IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a)⊠		This action is n	on-final.					
3)								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-10,12-14,16,17,19-21,23,25-32 and 34-36</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5))☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-10,12-14,16,17,19-21,23,25-32 and 34-36</u> is/are rejected.							
7)								
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ınd/or election r	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 March 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	orrection is requir	ed if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur			a)-(d) or (f).				
	Certified copies of the priority docur Certified copies of the priority docur			ation No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the		, ,		l Stane			
	application from the International Br	•		ved in tino reationa	lotage			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for	•	* **	∕ed.				
			,					
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summa					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S		Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal		O-152)			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date	10/U0)	6) Other:	storer ippriodition (I - I				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This Office Action is responsive to Applicant's amendment of March 1, 2006.

Drawings

2. The drawings (replacement Fig. 1) were received on March 1, 2006. These drawings are accepted.

Specification

3. The amendment to the Specification was received on March 1, 2006. The 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph objection is withdrawn.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments regarding 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph and second paragraph rejection of claims 1-3, 5-10, 12-14, 16-17, 19, 21, 23, 25-32 and 34-36, and 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph rejection of claims 1-33 have been considered and are persuasive.

Applicant's argument regarding 35 U.S.C. 102(b) rejection of claims 1-36 have been considered but is not persuasive. Applicant argues (Argument A) that Kim describes controlling the *active* (amplifying) layer to control TE gain and TM gain, whereas the applicant's describe selecting the thickness of the *residual cladding* layer to control TE gain and TM gain.

The Kim reference shows in Fig. 2 that not only the active waveguide layer (30), but also the upper waveguide layer (40), has different controlled thicknesses along the TE and TM areas. This upper waveguide layer, along with the lower layer (20), is a

Art Unit: 3663

cladding of the active waveguide layer. The fact that the active waveguide thickness is also varied does not distinguish the prior art from what the Applicant has claimed (note that claims are written in open-ended language, e. g. "comprising").

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 6. Claims 1-3, 5-10, 12-14, 16, 17, 19-21, 23, 25-32, 34-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kim et al.

With regard to claims 1, 12, 23 and 34, Kim discloses in Fig. 2 a semiconductor amplifier comprising a substrate (60), a first gain section (72) disposed on the substrate, and a second gain section (71) disposed on the substrate. As is evident from the figure, the residual cladding layer (40) disposed above the gain sections has a different thicknesses over the first and second gain sections. The light incident on the first gain section is amplified in the TE mode while the light incident on the second gain section is amplified in the TM mode (cf. paragraph [0022].

With regard to claims 2, 13, 25, and 35, the gain sections are fabricated using bulk semiconductor (paragraph [0026]).

With regard to claims 3, 14, 26, and 36 the first and second gain sections have substantially the same length as shown in Fig. 2. The drive current is controllable such that the same current is received in each gain section.

With regard to claims 5,6, 16, 17, 27 and 28, the first thickness is less than the second thickness.

Art Unit: 3663

With regard to claims 7 and 29 the boundary between the gain sections is configured to reduce reflections, e. g. by the gradual change in thickness of (30) between the two sections.

With regard to claims 8, 9, 19, 20, 30 and 31, the first and second sections are connected by a waveguide.

With regard to claims 10, 21 and 32, the overall gain is made independent of polarization of the input light (paragraph [0029]).

Note that the citations made herein are done so for the convenience of the applicant; they are in no way intended to be limiting. The prior art should be considered in its entirety.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/767,551 Page 5

Art Unit: 3663

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Eric Bolda whose telephone number is 571-272-8104. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:30am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Jack Keith, can be reached on 571-272-6878. Please note the fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EB

Eric Bolda

JACK WEITH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER